FULL PAPER

Masateru Hakariya · Dai Hirose · Seiji Tokumasu

Molecular phylogeny of terrestrial holocarpic endoparasitic peronosporomycetes, *Haptoglossa* spp., inferred from 18S rDNA

Received: July 3, 2008 / Accepted: November 7, 2008

Abstract Phylogenetic relationships of seven isolates of the genus Haptoglossa parasitic on terrestrial nematodes within the Peronosporomycetes were analyzed using 18S rDNA sequence data with 21 peronosporomycetes, 2 marine stramenopilous flagellates, and 2 hyphochytridiomycetes. The marine stramenopilous flagellates and hyphochytridiomycetes were used as the outgroup. All Haptoglossa isolates formed a monophyletic clade and clustered with the marine genus Eurychasma. The clade of Haptoglossa and Eurychasma formed a sister-group to the clade that consisted of all other peronosporomycetes. These results suggest that the genus Haptoglossa and other terrestrial peronosporomycetes included in the two subclasses, the Saprolegniomycetidae and the Peronosporomycetidae, might have originally adapted to the terrestrial environment individually. In the maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis, the Haptoglossa clade was divided into three subclades, one aplanosporic species clade and two zoosporic species clades. Phylogenetic analyses of combined 18S rDNA and cox2 genes among five species of Haptoglossa supported the results of the ML analysis using 18S rDNA and suggested that zoosporic species may be separated into two lineages. This topology of the analysis may suggest that aplanosporic species diverged from zoosporic species.

Key words *Haptoglossa* · Molecular phylogeny · Nematode parasites · Oomycetes · Peronosporomycetes

Introduction

The class Peronosporomycetes (Oomycetes), which consists of absorptive heterotrophs that have two heterokont flagella on the zoospore, is placed in the Stramenopile (Patterson 1989). For the classification of this class, Sparrow

M. Hakariya (⊠) · D. Hirose · S. Tokumasu Sugadaira Montane Research Center, University of Tsukuba, 1278-294 Sugadaira-Kogen, Ueda-shi, Nagano 386-2201, Japan Tel. +81-268-74-2002; Fax +81-268-74-2016 e-mail: hakariya@sugadaira.tsukuba.ac.jp (1976) emphasized that the Oomycetes was composed of two morphologically distinguishable major taxonomic groups, the saprolegnialean and peronosporalean "galaxies." These galaxies almost correspond to the subclasses defined by Dick et al. (1984), the Saprolegniomycetidae and the Peronosporomycetidae. In 2001, Dick erected a new class, Peronosporomycetes, consisting of three subclasses instead of the traditional class name of Oomycetes and subdivided into three subclasses (Saprolegniomycetidae, Peronosporomycetidae, and Rhipidiomycetidae). The existence of the former two subclasses in the Peronosporomycetes has been supported by phylogenetic analysis on DNA sequence data including 18S rDNA (Dick et al. 1999), 28S rDNA (Riethmuller et al. 1999), and the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 2 (cox2) locus (Hudspeth et al. 2000; Cook et al. 2001). Recent molecular phylogenetic studies revealed that some marine holocarpic peronosporomycetes that are endoparasitic in the Crustacea or algae were not included in any clade of two subclasses and formed a separate clade at the basal position of the Peronosporomycetes (Cook et al. 2001; Kupper et al. 2006; Sekimoto et al. 2007). Holocarpic endoparasitic peronosporomycetes have been discovered in both the marine and the terrestrial environment (Karling 1981). Molecular phylogenetic analyses have been mostly conducted for marine holocarpic peronosporomycetes (Cook et al. 2001; Kupper et al. 2006; Sekimoto et al. 2007), whereas it has been rarely tried for the terrestrial members (Cook et al. 2001).

The genus *Haptoglossa* is a terrestrial holocarpic peronosporomycetes that parasitizes nematodes and rotifers (Drechsler 1940; Barron 1980). The genus includes 11 species that can be divided into two groups by spore type, whether aplanosporic or zoosporic. The species included in the aplanosporic group form infection cells by several different ways whereas zoosporic species produce these cells by one way only (Glockling and Beakes 2002; Hakariya et al. 2007). Recently, we analyzed the phylogenetic position of seven isolates of the genus *Haptoglossa* using mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 2 amino acid (COII) sequences with a data set of 34 peronosporomycetes (Hakariya et al. 2007). Our results revealed that all

Haptoglossa isolates formed a single clade and appeared to be basal to the clade consisting of all other peronosporomycetes. In addition, the *Haptoglossa* clade was divided into two subclades, which correspond to different development patterns of the infection cell. However, spore types for propagation did not correspond to subclades.

The aim of this study is to investigate whether the results of our former work based on COII amino acid sequence data are supported by phylogenetic analyses using 18S rDNA sequence data. Especially, we focused on clarifying the evolutionary relationships between the genus *Haptoglossa* and marine holocarpic endoparasitic genera, and also on reevaluating interspecific relationships within the genus.

Materials and methods

Haptoglossa isolates

Isolation and maintenance of all Haptoglossa isolates followed the methods described by Hakariya et al. (2007). Seven isolates of Haptoglossa were used in this study (Table 1). Haptoglossa heterospora NA01, Haptoglossa sp. 1 KG01, and Haptoglossa sp. 2 SZ01 are the isolates used in the previous study (Hakariya et al. 2007). The other four isolates are newly established strains. Haptoglossa sp. 3 KG02 and SZ03, which are distinguishable from any known species by producing a chlamydospore-like structure, are different species from the Haptoglossa sp. 3 used in the our previous study (Hakariya et al. 2007). All aplanosporic species used in this study form small and large aplanospores that produce small and large infection cells, respectively (heterospora type). All zoosporic species used in this study form single-size zoospores that encyst to produce a single type of infection cells (zoospora type).

Amplification of DNA

Two methods were used for amplification of DNA in this study. For the *Haptoglossa zoospora* Y11 and the *Hapto-*

Table 1. Haptoglossa isolates analyzed in this study

glossa sp. 2 SZ01, total genomic DNA was extracted from the thalli following the modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method described by Matsuda and Hijii (1999) for ectomycorrhizae. Primers NS1 (White et al. 1990) and PCR-B (Spencer et al. 2002) were used to amplify the almost complete 18S rDNA. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was achieved using HotStarTaq Master Mix (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Each PCR tube contained a total 50 µl mixture (21 µl distilled water, 25 µl master mix, 3 µl template DNA, and 0.5 µl each primer; final, 0.25 µM). PCR amplification was performed in a Mastercycler Gradient (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) as follows: an initial denaturation of 94°C for 15 min is followed by 45 cycles consisting of 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min, followed by one extension period of 72°C for 10 min. For the other isolates, the DNA fragment was amplified by the modified direct PCR method developed by Hakariya et al. (2007). Three primer pairs, NS1 and NS2, SR-4 and NS4, and NS5 (White et al. 1990) and PCR-B, were used to amplify almost complete 18S rDNA. PCR amplification were performed in a GenAmp PCR system 2400 (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA), which was an initial denaturation of 95°C for 15 min followed by 45 cycles consisting of 94°C for 20 s and 50°C for 1 min, followed by one extension period of 71°C for 10 min. PCR products were purified with a QiAquick PCR purification Kit (Qiagen).

DNA sequencing

Sequencing reactions were performed in a PTC-225 Peltier Thermal Cycler (MJ Research, Waltham, MA, USA) using a ABI PRISMR Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit with AmpliTaqR DNA polymerase (FS enzyme) (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), following the protocols supplied by the manufacturer. These reactions were performed on each template using primers NS1, NS4, SR-4, and PCR-B (Nakayama et al. 1998). The fluorescent-labeled fragments were purified from the unincorporated terminators with an ethanol precipitation protocol. The samples were resuspended in distilled water and subjected to electrophoresis in an ABI 3730xl sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

SpeciesStrain no.Spore typeDevelopment pattern of infection cellaGeneGenBank accession no.ReferenceHaptoglossa heterosporaNA01AplanosporicHeterospora type18S rDNAAB425199This studyHaptoglossa heterosporaNA01AplanosporicHeterospora type18S rDNAAB425199This studyHaptoglossa sp. 1KG01AplanosporicHeterospora type18S rDNAAB425201This studyHaptoglossa sp. 1KG01AplanosporicHeterospora type18S rDNAAB425201This studyHaptoglossa sp. 1KG01AplanosporicHeterospora typecox2AB253784Hakariya et al.Haptoglossa zoosporaY11ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425202This study	
Haptoglossa heterosporaNA01AplanosporicHeterospora type18S rDNAAB425199This studyHaptoglossa heterosporaNA01AplanosporicHeterospora typecox2AB253781Hakariya et al.Haptoglossa sp. 1KG01AplanosporicHeterospora type18S rDNAAB425201This studyHaptoglossa sp. 1KG01AplanosporicHeterospora type18S rDNAAB425201This studyHaptoglossa sp. 1KG01AplanosporicHeterospora typecox2AB253784Hakariya et al.Haptoglossa zoosporaY11ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425202This study	
Haptoglossa zoosporaY11ZoosporicZoosporicZoospora typecox2AB43/405This studyHaptoglossa zoosporaSZ02ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425200This studyHaptoglossa sp. 2SZ01ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425203This studyHaptoglossa sp. 2SZ01ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425203This studyHaptoglossa sp. 2SZ01ZoosporicZoospora typecox2AB253786Hakariya et al.Haptoglossa sp. 3KG02ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425204This studyHaptoglossa sp. 3SZ03ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425205This studyHaptoglossa sp. 2SZ03ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425205This studyHaptoglossa sp. 3SZ03ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425205This studyHaptoglossa sp. 3SZ03ZoosporicZoospora type18S rDNAAB425205This study	. 2007 . 2007 . 2007
Inprogrossa sp. 5 5205 Zoospone Zoospone Zoospone Cox2 AD45/400 This study	

^a Development pattern of infection cell is represented in Hakariya et al. (2007)

Alignment and phylogenetic analysis

The BioEdit program for the sequence data management and phylogenetic analysis (Hall 1999) was used for preliminary multiple alignments of nucleotide sequences. Final alignments were manually adjusted. Alignment gaps were treated as missing data, and ambiguous positions were excluded from the analysis. Phylogenetic analyses were carried out using PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003). The neighbor-joining (NJ) topologies were calculated with the HKY 85 (Hasegawa et al. 1985) model. For maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis, the tree topologies were inferred using the model "TrN + I + G" (Tamura and Nei 1993), which was selected as the best-fit model of nucleotide substitution by the Akaike information criterion (AIC) in Modeltest 3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998). A heuristic search procedure using the tree bisection-reconnection branch-swapping algorithm was performed to find the optimal ML tree topology. To estimate clade support, the bootstrap procedure of Felsenstein (1985) was employed with 1000 replicates in NJ analyses and 100 replicates in ML analysis. User-defined alternative tree topologies were compared to the ML tree by the approximately unbiased (AU) test using the CONSEL program (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 2001) to evaluate how much more strongly the sequence data supported the best tree compared to alternative trees.

To obtain a more robust inference on the phylogenetic relationships among the species of the genus Haptoglossa, a data set consisting of 18S rDNA and mitochondrial cox2 sequences was analyzed using two different models (concatenate and separate models). The analyses using each model were performed by the BASEML program in the PAML package (Yang 1997) and carried out by exhaustively examining the 105 alternative trees possible for the five species of Haptoglossa with an outgroup. In the analysis using the concatenate model, the tree with the highest log-likelihood in total was selected as the best tree by comparing of the sum of the site-by-site log-likelihoods for each tree. In the analysis using a separate model, the results based on individual sites were combined by summing up the estimated log-likelihoods using the TOTALML program in the MOLPHY package. The adequacy of models was compared by using the AIC (Akaike 1974). Bootstrap proportion (BP) for each node of the best tree with the best log-likelihood score among alternatives was calculated by applying the resampling estimated log-likelihood (RELL) method (Kishino et al. 1990) with 5000 resamplings to the alternative trees mentioned earlier.

Results and discussion

Phylogenetic relationships within the class Peronosporomycetes

In both NJ and ML analyses, the Peronosporomycetes clade was strongly supported by high bootstrap values (100%/100%

of NJ/ML bootstrap value; Figs. 1, 2). Trees obtained by NJ and ML analyses showed similar topology within the Peronosporomycetes clade. The clade was divided into four major groups: Haptoglossa and Eurychasma clade (44%/75%), a group of marine holocarpic endoparasites of the Crustacea (consisting of Haliphthoros, Halodaphnea, and Halocrusticida), the Peronosporomycetidae clade (100%/99%), and the Saprolegniomycetidae clade (82%/51%). The latter two clades formed a clade, as shown in previous studies (Dick et al. 1999; Riethmuller et al. 1999; Hudspeth et al. 2000; Sekimoto et al. 2007). The group of marine holocarpic endoparasites of the Crustacea is a paraphyletic group. The separation of the group of marine holocarpic endoparasites of the Crustacea from the clade composed of these two subclasses is supported by high bootstrap values (100%/100%). The clade of Haptoglossa and Eurychasma is the sister-group to a clade consisting of other peronosporomycetes. In both NJ and ML analyses, the branching order among four major groups in the Peronosporomycetes is not in conflict with previous studies (Cook et al. 2001; Cavalier-Smith and Chao 2006; Kupper et al. 2006; Sekimoto et al. 2007).

Phylogenetic position of the genus *Haptoglossa* in the Peronosporomycetes

The genera Haptoglossa and Eurychasma formed a clade that was the sister-group to a clade consisting of other peronosporomycetes (Figs. 1, 2). Monophyly of Haptoglossa and Eurychasma should be concluded carefully, because the clade was not supported firmly by bootstrap value in NJ and ML analyses (44%/75%). Branching order among the Haptoglossa clade, Eurychasma, and the other peronosporomycetes clade is ambiguous. The probability of other branching orders was tested using the AU test to evaluate how much more strongly the 18S rDNA sequence data supported the ML tree than the two alternative trees (Table 2). The ML tree (tree 1) was compared to two alternative trees, tree 2 (the Haptoglossa clade was sister with a clade consisting of Eurychasma and the other peronosporomycetes clade) and tree 3 (Eurychasma was sister to a clade consisting of the Haptoglossa clade and the other peronosporomycetes clade). The results of the AU test show that tree 3 is significantly worse than the ML tree (Table 2). Although the branching order among three clades within the Peronosporomycetes clade was not clearly resolved, the result of the AU test suggests that the genus Haptoglossa is not the group that diverged first in the Peronosporomycetes. Our results also indicate all peronosporomycetes that diverged earlier than the Peronosporomycetidae and Saprolegniomycetidae are holocarpic endoparasites, and they are marine, except the genus Haptoglossa. This result supports the hypothesis by Cavalier-Smith and Chao (2006) and Kupper et al. (2006) that the Peronosporomycetes arose from the sea. If the origin of the Peronosporomycetes was in the sea, the genus Haptoglossa and other terrestrial peronosporomycetes included in the two subclasses might have adapted to terrestrial environment individually.



— 0.005 substitutions/site

Fig. 1. Phylogenetic positions of *Haptoglossa* species among the Peronosporomycetes inferred from the neighbor-joining (NJ) analysis of 18S rDNA. Bootstrap values greater than 50% from 1000 replicates

are indicated for corresponding branches. Branches significantly supported by bootstrap value above 95% are shown with *thick lines*. Organisms from the marine environment shown in *bold*

Table 2. Results of approximately unbiased (AU) tests of alternative topologies against the best one found in maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis

	Tree 1	Tree 2	Tree 3
Topology	Pero	Pero	Pero
	Hapto	Hapto	Eury
	Eury	Eury	Hapto
	Outgroup	Outgroup	Outgroup
P value	0.612	0.388	0.087
Significantly worse		No	Yes

Hapto, Haptoglossa clade; Eury, Eurychasma dicksonii; Pero, other peronosporomycetous organisms clade



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic positions of *Haptoglossa* species among the Peronosporomycetes inferred from the maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis of 18S rDNA. Bootstrap values greater than 50% from 100 replicates

are indicated for corresponding branches. Branches significantly supported by bootstrap value above 95% are shown with *thick lines*. Organisms from the marine environment shown in *bold*

Phylogenetic relationships within the genus Haptoglossa

In NJ and ML analyses all isolates of *Haptoglossa* formed a single clade, which was supported by high bootstrap values (100%/100%). In the *Haptoglossa* clade, there were three subclades, the aplanosporic species clade (*H. heterospora* and *Haptoglossa* sp. 1), the zoosporic species clade (*Haptoglossa* sp. 2 and *Haptoglossa* sp. 3), and another zoosporic clade of *H. zoospora*. These three subclades were supported by high bootstrap values, above 91%, except the former zoosporic species subclade in ML analysis (64%). The topologies of the two analyses resulted in different branching orders. In the NJ analysis, all zoosporic subclades formed a single clade. The clade and the aplanosporic clade formed a sister-group. In ML analysis, three subclades of *Haptoglossa* isolates seemed to branch almost at the same time. To infer more precisely phylogenetic relationships among the five species of the *Haptoglossa* with an outgroup,



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree based on concatenate and separate models using 18S rDNA and *cox*2 nucleotide sequences. The topology shows the ML tree obtained from the separate model. The branch length was obtained from the concatenate model. In the ML analysis of the sepa-

rate model, *Eurychasma dicksonii* and *Hyphochytrium catenoides* were used as an outgroup on the analyses of 18S rDNA and *cox2*, respectively. Bootstrap proportions based on separate and concatenate models are attached to the internal branches

we performed ML analyses of combined phylogeny using a concatenate model for 18S rDNA + cox2 data set and a separate model for 18S rDNA and cox2 data set. Comparison of the AIC values for the ML tree selected the separate model as better. The concatenated analysis gave an AIC value of 10738.12, and the AIC value of separated analysis was reduced to 9768.6. This result indicates that the separate model approximates the underlying evolutionary process better than the concatenated model, which assumes homogeneity of substitution process across genes.

The ML tree of the separate model showed three lineages, which are congruent with the NJ and ML trees using 18S rDNA, an aplanosporic clade consisting of H. heterospora and Haptoglossa sp. 1, a zoosporic clade that consisted of Haptoglossa sp. 2 and sp. 3, and a zoosporic isolate H. *zoospora* (see Fig. 3). The ML tree of the separate model also showed that H. zoospora was positioned basal to the clade consisting of aplanosporic and zoosporic clades. The topology of the analysis may suggest that the genus arose from zoosporic and aplanosporic species derived from zoosporic species. However, separation of *H. zoospora* from other Haptoglossa species was not supported by bootstrap proportion value (44%). This result may suggest that zoosporic species are not a monophyletic group, although the branching order of Haptoglossa species was not clearly revealed. Further studies on the molecular analysis using more isolates are needed to clarify this problem.

In our previous study we suggested that the development pattern of infection cell may reflect the phylogeny of the genus (Hakariya et al. 2007). Our results of this present study, however, do not clearly support this idea. Our former study also indicated that the spore type for propagation does not reflect phylogeny in the genus (Hakariya et al. 2007). Our results here support this suggestion. We have not yet detected what characters reflect the phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Haptoglossa*. To find the characters, further studies are needed by observing and comparing more carefully the morphology, life cycle, and ultrastructure of more species. Especially, we expect that detailed observations of the entire life cycle of the genus will lead to this goal.

Acknowledgments We thank Prof. T. Hashimoto, University of Tsukuba, for helpful advice on methods of phylogenetic analysis, and we would like to thank Prof. Ogawa, Nihon University, for help with molecular work concerning *cox*2 sequences.

References

- Akaike H (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans Automat Control 19:716–723
- Barron GL (1980) A new *Haptoglossa* attacking rotifers by rapid injection of an infective sporidium. Mycologia 72:1186–1194
- Cavalier-Smith T, Chao EE (2006) Phylogeny and megasystematics of phagotrophic heterokonts (Kingdom Chromista). J Mol Evol 62:388–420
- Cook KL, Hudspeth DSS, Hudspeth MES (2001) A *cox2* phylogeny of representative marine Peronosporomycetes (Oomycetes). Nova Hedwigia 122:231–243
- Dick MW (2001) Straminipilous fungi: systematics of the Peronosporomycetes, including accounts of the marine straminipilous protests, the plasmodiophorids, and similar organisms. Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Dick MW, Wong PTW, Clark G (1984) The identity of the oomycete causing "Kikuyu Yellows." With a reclassification of the downy mildews. Bot J Linn Soc 89:171–197
- Dick MW, Vick MC, Gibbings JG, Hedderson TA, Lopez Lastra CC (1999) 18S rDNA for species of *Leptolegnia* and other Peronosporomycetes: justification for the subclass taxa Saprolegniomycetidae and Peronosporomycetidae and division of the Saprolegniaceae *sensu lato* into the Leptolegniaceae and Saprolegniaceae. Mycol Res 103:1119–1125
- Drechsler C (1940) Three fungi destructive to free-living terricolous nematodes. J Wash Acad Sci 30:240–254
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791
- Glockling SL, Beakes GW (2002) Ultrastructural morphogenesis of dimorphic arcuate infection (gun) cells of *Haptoglossa erumpens*, an obligate parasite of *Bunonema* nematodes. Fungal Gen Biol 37: 250–262
- Hakariya M, Hirose D, Tokumasu S (2007) A molecular phylogeny of *Haptoglossa* spp. terrestrial peronosporomycetes (oomycetes) endoparasitic on nematodes. Mycoscience 48:169–175

- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 41:95–98
- Hasegawa M, Kishino H, Yano H (1985) Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. J Mol Evol 22:160–174
- Hudspeth DSS, Nadler SA, Hudspeth MES (2000) A cox2 phylogeny of the Peronosporomycetes (Oomycetes). Mycologia 92:674–684
- Karling JS (1981) Predominantly holocarpic and eucarpic simple biflagellate phycomycetes. Cramer Vaduz, Lichtenstein
- Kishino H, Miyata T, Hasegawa M (1990) Maximum likelihood inference of protein phylogeny and the origin of chloroplasts. J Mol Evol 30:151–160
- Kupper FC, Maier I, Muller G, Loiseaux-De Goer S, Guillou L (2006) Phylogenetic affinities of two eukaryotic pathogens of marine macroalgae, *Eurychasma dicksonii* (Wright) Magnus and *Chytridium polysiphoneae* Cohn. Cryptogam Algol 27:165–184
- Matsuda Y, Hijii N (1999) Characterization and identification of *Strobilomyces confusus* ectomycorrhizas on momi fir by RFLP analysis of the PCR-amplified ITS region of the rDNA. J For Res 4:145–150
- Nakayama T, Watanabe S, Mitsui K, Uchida H, Inouye I (1998) The phylogenetic relationship between the Chlamydomonadales and Chlorococcales inferred from 18S rDNA sequence data. Phycol Res 44:47–55
- Patterson DJ (1989) Stramenopiles: chromophytes from a protistan perspective. In: Green JP, Leadbeater BSC, Diver WC (eds) The chromophyte algae: problems and perspectives. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp 357–379
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14:817–818

- Riethmuller A, Weir M, Oberwinkler F (1999) Phylogenetic studies of Saprolegniomycetidae and related groups based on nuclear large subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. Can J Bot 77:1790–1800
- Sekimoto S, Hatai K, Honda D (2007) Molecular phylogeny of an unidentified *Haliphthoros*-like marine oomycete and *Haliphthoros milfordensis* inferred from nuclear-encoded small- and large-subunit rRNA genes and mitochondrial-encoded *cox2* gene. Mycoscience 48:212–221
- Shimodaira H, Hasegawa M (2001) CONSEL: for assessing the confidence of phylogenetic tree selection. Bioinformatics 17:1246–1247
- Sparrow FK (1976) The present status of classification in biflagellate fungi. In: Gareth-Jones EB (ed) Recent advances in aquatic mycology. Elek Science, London, pp 213–333
- Spencer MA, Vick MC, Dick MW (2002) Revision of Aplanopsis, Pythiopsis, and "subcentric" Achlya species (Saprolegniaceae) using 18S rDNA and morphological data. Mycol Res 106:549–560
- Swofford DL (2003) PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods), version 4.0b10. Signature Associates, Sunderland, MA
- Tamura K, Nei M (1993) Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in control region of mitochondrial DNA in human and chimpanzees. Mol Biol Evol 10:512–526
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, New York, pp 315–322
- Yang Z (1997) PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Comput Appl Biosci 13:555–556